NUTRITION, EXERCISE AND LIFESTYLE (S SHAPSES AND R DALY, SECTION EDITORS)



# **Exercise to Prevent and Manage Frailty and Fragility Fractures**

Elsa Dent<sup>1</sup> · Robin M. Daly<sup>2</sup> · Emiel O. Hoogendijk<sup>3,4,5</sup> · David Scott<sup>2,6</sup>

Accepted: 30 January 2023 / Published online: 28 March 2023 © The Author(s) 2023

### Abstract

**Purpose of Review** This review identifies exercise-based recommendations to prevent and manage frailty and fragility fractures from current clinical practice guidelines. We also critically assess recently published literature in relation to exercise interventions to mitigate frailty and fragility fractures.

**Recent Findings** Most guidelines presented similar recommendations that included the prescription of individually tailored, multicomponent exercise programs, discouragement of prolonged sitting and inactivity, and combining exercise with optimal nutrition. To target frailty, guidelines recommend supervised progressive resistance training (PRT). For osteoporosis and fragility fractures, exercise should include weight-bearing impact activities and PRT to target bone mineral density (BMD) at the hip and spine, and also incorporate balance and mobility training, posture exercises, and functional exercise relevant to activities of daily living to reduce falls risk. Walking as a singular intervention has limited benefits for frailty and fragility fracture prevention and management. **Summary** Current evidence-based clinical practice guidelines for frailty, osteoporosis, and fracture prevention recommend a multifaceted and targeted approach to optimise muscle mass, strength, power, and functional mobility as well as BMD.

Keywords Exercise training · Fracture prevention · Osteoporosis, Frailty

This article is part of the Topical Collection on *Nutrition, Exercise* and Lifestyle

 Emiel O. Hoogendijk e.hoogendijk@amsterdamumc.nl
Elsa Dent elsa.dent@torrens.edu.au

- <sup>1</sup> Research Centre for Public Health, Equity & Human Flourishing, Torrens University Australia, Adelaide, SA, Australia
- <sup>2</sup> Institute for Physical Activity and Nutrition, School of Exercise and Nutrition Sciences, Deakin University, Geelong, Australia
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Epidemiology & Data Science, Amsterdam UMC - Location VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, the Netherlands
- <sup>4</sup> Department of General Practice, Amsterdam UMC
  Location VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, the Netherlands
- <sup>5</sup> Amsterdam Public Health Research Institute, Ageing and Later Life Research Program, Amsterdam, the Netherlands
- <sup>6</sup> Department of Medicine, School of Clinical Sciences at Monash Health, Monash University, Clayton, Australia

## Introduction

Due to population ageing, there are an increasing number of older adults living with chronic musculoskeletal conditions, particularly osteoporosis [1]. Fractures linked to osteoporosis — known as fragility fractures — are showing a rapid increase in incidence globally [2, 3]. Fragility fractures most commonly occur from low-trauma injury, such as a fall from standing height or lower [4–6]. Compared with younger adults, older adults with a fragility fracture have a high risk of poor outcomes, including prolonged length of hospital stay (LOS), premature mortality, and high use of healthcare resources [3, 7–9]. The elevated risk of adverse outcomes is often a consequence of co-morbidities and the geriatric condition of frailty — the latter defined as age-related physiological decline across several physiological systems [5, 8, 10–13].

Frailty is associated with a decline of musculoskeletal, sensory, and neurological systems, which in turn increases falls risk [12, 13], likelihood of hip fracture [12, 13], and low trauma fragility fractures [5]. In the clinical setting, frailty can predict response to treatment and the likelihood of adverse clinical outcomes, and thus can be used to quantify any likely harms or benefits from proposed medical or surgical interventions [14, 15]. For instance, individuals

with fragility fractures can be assessed for frailty in acute care and this information may be used to guide patient care planning.

Fragility fractures and frailty are both preventable. Many of the age-related changes in musculoskeletal health and function seen with advancing age, such as loss of bone mineral density (BMD), muscle mass, strength, and function, are largely due to a lack of physical activity and sedentary lifestyles [1, 6, 16, 17]. Indeed, physical inactivity in midlife has been strongly associated with an increased risk of frailty [18] and osteoporosis [6, 19] in older age. Appropriately targeted exercise can maintain or improve BMD, muscle mass, strength, and function, which in turn are crucial to reduce functional disability and thus extend the functional lifespan of older adults [20].

This review introduces the concepts of frailty and fragility fractures, after which we provide an overview of bestpractice, evidence-based exercise recommendations to prevent and manage frailty and fragility fractures from new and recently updated clinical practice guidelines (referred to herein as 'guidelines'). Guidelines are typically developed by key organisations and provide healthcare professionals with guidance on appropriate, high-value care for older adults and people with or at increased risk of chronic conditions [21]. Our review will provide a background of the most recently available literature regarding exercise interventions for the prevention and management of frailty and fragility fractures, including falls prevention. For the purposes of this review, physical activity is distinguished from exercise - physical activity being 'any bodily movement produced by skeletal muscles that results in energy expenditure', and 'exercise' defined as 'planned, structure, repetitive, and purposeful' physical activity aimed to 'maintain or improve one or more components of physical fitness' [22]. Although this review is focused on older adults aged 65 years and over, the findings are applicable to populations who are at increased risk of frailty and fragility fractures.

# Frailty

# What Is Frailty?

Frailty is an age-related clinical condition characterised by impaired physiological functioning, an increased susceptibility to stressors, and an elevated risk of adverse clinical outcomes such as falls, premature mortality, functional decline, hospitalisation, and admission to residential aged care [14, 23, 24]. Whether frailty is the result of an accelerated ageing process or due to normal ageing combined with co-morbidities has not yet been determined. Common clinical presentations of frailty include falls resulting in hospitalisation, delirium, and sudden onset of immobility [23, 25]. Frailty is common in older adults, with prevalence rates of around 5–10% in those living in the community [14, 26]. A recent meta-analysis has reported that higher prevalence of frailty is found in hospital settings (26.8% pooled prevalence) and in long-term care facilities (51.5%) [27]. Prevalence rates are substantially higher in low-middle income countries and in immigrant populations [28–30]. The prevalence of frailty increases with age and is more common in females, yet the association between sex and the rate of frailty progression shows considerable heterogeneity between populations [31].

Frailty is generally considered a pre-disability state [32]. Major contributors to frailty include sedentary behaviour, immobility, and physical inactivity [23, 32, 33]. Other risk factors include multi-morbidity [34], polypharmacy [35], poor diet [36], and age-related weight loss (termed the 'anorexia of ageing') [37]. Psychosocial factors such as social isolation, low education, and depressive symptoms have also been linked to frailty development or progression [29, 30, 38], as has cognitive decline [39]. Hospitalisation also contributes to the development and progression of frailty in older adults, with recent research highlighting that both the severity of disease and the care management process (including both the care provided and the ward environment) can led to an accelerated manifestation of frailty [40].

Two main models of frailty have been proposed [14]. First is phenotypic frailty (physical frailty) which is diagnosed based upon physical characteristics. Fried's frailty phenotype classifies frailty as the existence of three or more out of five physical components: weakness (low handgrip strength), slowness (slow gait speed), weight loss, self-reported exhaustion, and low physical activity [41]. The second model is the frailty index (FI) of cumulative deficits developed by Rockwood and Mitnitski [42, 43], which is similar in nature to a co-morbidity index and considers the multidimensional aspects of frailty - co-morbidities, psychosocial components, activities of daily living (ADLs) and instrumental ADLs (IADLs), cognition, and other measures of physical functioning. Fried's frailty phenotype and the FI remain the two most common instruments to identify frailty today and are outlined in further detail in Box 1. Another common instrument to identify frailty is the judgement-based Clinical Frailty Scale (CFS), which is a 9-point pictorial scale (with supporting text) with scores ranging from 1 (very fit) to 9 (terminally ill) [44].

Differential diagnoses of frailty include sarcopenia and malnutrition. Sarcopenia refers to an accelerated loss of muscle mass, strength, and physical performance [33, 45]. Compelling evidence exists linking sarcopenia with low BMD and osteoporosis [46], and fragility fractures [47–49] — particularly when frailty is also present [24, 33]. Malnutrition (undernutrition) in adults is defined as insufficient nutrition to meet the body's requirements due to underconsumption or to impaired absorption of nutrients [50]. Malnutrition is closely related to frailty, with weight loss a common criterion for both conditions [51, 52]. Frailty also overlaps with multi-morbidity

and disability although it is clinically distinct from these entities [53]. Difficulties with mobility are an early indicator of developing frailty, with weight loss typically the last symptom of physical frailty to manifest [23].

Frailty is interconnected with osteoporosis. An example comes from the Hertfordshire Cohort Study (n = 405) which reported that individuals with osteoporosis were at increased risk of being frail [OR (95% CI): 2.57 (0.61 to 10.78)]; co-occurring sarcopenia with osteoporosis resulted in an even greater likelihood of frailty [OR (95% CI): 26.1 (3.3–218.8)] [11]. Frailty is also a known risk factor for fragility fractures. A recent study of hospitalised patients (n = 866) identified that for every stepwise increase in the CFS score, the likelihood of mortality increased [OR values of 1.55 and 1.88 for 30-day and 1-year mortality respectively] [15]. Collectively, these findings highlight that approaches to prevent and manage frailty should also incorporate strategies targeting osteoporosis, sarcopenia, and fragility fractures.

Box 1: The two major frailty assessment instruments

- The frailty phenotype proposed by Fried and colleagues identifies *physical* frailty, which it recognises as a biological syndrome. An individual is classified as frail by Fried's phenotype when three or more of the following physical components are present:
- 1. Weakness: low grip strength
- 2. Slowness: low gait speed
- 3. Shrinking: unintentional weight loss of 10 lb (4.5 kg) in the previous year
- 4. Self-reported exhaustion
- 5. Low physical activity: physical activity per week < 383 kcal (males), <270 kcal (females) based on the Minnesota Leisure Time Activity Questionnaire; other alternative physical activity questionnaires are often used</p>
- When 1–2 components are present, an individual is classified as 'pre-frail'. When an individual has no components present, they are classified as 'robust'. Settings that Fried's phenotype has been validated for include hospital, primary care, and long-term care facilities

#### Frailty index of cumulative deficits [42, 43]

- The frailty index (FI) of cumulative deficits describes *multidimensional* frailty. The general premise of a FI is the more (cumulative) health deficits an individual has, the more frail they are. Typically, a list of 30 or more multidimensional health deficits is considered, which includes disability, psychosocial factors, symptoms, co-morbidities, and any deficiencies in health. The FI is expressed as a ratio from 0 (no health deficits present) to 1 (all health deficits present
- Example: an individual has 10 health deficits present in a list of 30 health deficit variables. Their resultant FI score is 0.33 (10/30)
- Although the FI is considered a continuous variable, a cut-off point for frailty has been suggested as > 0.25 [23]. Thus, the individual in the above example is classified as frail
- The maximum FI compatible with survival in older adults is around 0.67 [43]
- Although the exact list of health deficits included in a FI does not technically matter, these variables must [14, 43]:
- Reflect a range of physiological symptoms
- Show an increased prevalence with age without a ceiling effect
- Be associated with health rather than age per se
- Have a prevalence  $\geq 1\%$
- Occur infrequently in populations aged under 65 years
- Settings that the FI has been validated for include hospital, primary care, and longterm care facilities

### Exercise, Physical Activity, and Frailty

Exercise guidelines for frailty consistently recommended that a multi-component exercise program be prescribed, and that this should include progressive resistance training (PRT) [24, 54, 55] (Box 2). The evidence underpinning exercise as a first-line treatment for frailty — and to prevent frailty — is drawn from both systematic reviews [56–58] and evidence from clinical trials [59–61]. However, the pool of literature is small and generally only of low-moderate quality [24, 54]. Moreover, interventions for frailty predominantly focus on preventing adverse outcomes associated with frailty/physical decline, rather than reducing frailty itself [14]. Accordingly, incorporation of exercise into current clinical guidelines for frailty is predominantly consensus-based [24, 54, 55]. To improve the supporting evidence-base, several recent large-scale exercise trials have been conducted in older adults with the aim to prevent frailty, improve physical function, and prevent falls. These include the Sarcopenia and Physical fRailty IN older people: multi-componenT Treatment strategies (SPRINTT) study [61], the Vivifrail project [60], the Lifestyle Interventions and Independence for Elders (LIFE) study [59], and the Staying Upright and Eating Well Research (SUPER) study [62].

Published in 2022, the SPRINTT study is a multicomponent exercise-based clinical trial which was conducted across 11 European countries and involved 1519 community-dwelling men and women (aged 70 years and over) with either physical frailty or sarcopenia [61]. The program involved moderate intensity exercise, including aerobic exercise, resistance-training, flexibility, and balance-training, and was aided by both nutritional counselling (to optimise energy 25-30 kcal/d and protein intake 1.0-1.2 g/kg/g) and technological support [61]. Frequency of training was twice weekly at a designated centre, with participants also training at home up to four times a week. The intervention was 12 months, with a 24-month maintenance phase [61]. Benefits of participation over 36 months included reductions in the risk of incident mobility disability (defined as an inability to independently walk 400 m in < 15 min) compared with lifestyle education only [61]. However, the reductions were modest, from 46.8% incident disability in the intervention group compared with 52.7% in the control group [61]. Overall, mean exercise adherence to the centre-based and home-based training was 67% and 74%, respectively (after excluding reasons for non-participation), and mean energy and protein intake increased modestly to ~25 kcal/kg/d and ~1.1 g/kg/d, respectively (from 23.3 kcal/kg/d to 0.98 g/kg/d). For the secondary outcomes, physical performance (assessed by the Short

Fried's frailty phenotype [41]

Physical Performance Battery [SPPB] summary score) also improved in intervention group participants with a SPPB score of 3 to 7 (but not 8 or 9) at baseline (maximum SPPB score is 12, with a lower score indicating worse physical functioning) compared with the control group [61]. Most secondary outcomes (hospital admissions, mortality, the number of falls and fractures) did not change with the intervention or varied by sex, with the exception that women in the intervention experienced significant net benefits to muscle (grip) strength (after 24 months only) and muscle mass compared to controls [61].

Vivifrail is a multicomponent, individually tailored exercise program designed for the prevention of falls and frailty in older adults [60]. It is based on the World Health Organisations concept of Intrinsic Capacity [63] and includes an 'exercise passport' for each participant [60]. The exercise program can be unsupervised and is prescribed according to an individual's physical function (either serious, moderate, or slight limitation) as determined by three components: gait speed, SPPB score, and an assessment of risk of falling [28, 60, 64]. Participation in the ViviFrail exercise program for 3 months was found to safely improve functional capacity (as determined by SPPB score) in Spain (across three tertiary hospitals) with frailty/pre-frailty with mild levels of either dementia or cognitive decline [64]. Similar improvements were found in older females in Mexico with low muscle strength with gains in muscle strength, gait speed, chair-stand, and timed-up-and-go performance [28].

The US Lifestyle Interventions and Independence for Elders (LIFE) trial combined walking (with a goal of 150 min/week), resistance-training, flexibility, and balance training period [59, 65]. Study participants were 1635 adults aged 70-98 years with physical limitations (defined as an SPPB score  $\leq 9$ ) [59]. Workout frequency throughout the study duration was twice weekly at a designated centre, plus 3-4 times weekly home-based training. Participation benefits of continued participation after 2.6 years included a reduction in major mobility disability (defined as the inability to complete a 400 m walk test under 15 min) from 35.5% in the control group (health education) to 30.1% in the intervention group [*HR* (95%) CI): 0.82, 0.69–0.98)] [59]. Intervention adherence (the percentage of sessions attended by participants) was 63% (73% for the control group), with the intervention group maintaining an average of 104 min more walking/resistance training activities than the control group after a 24-month follow-up period [59].

The 2022 community-based New Zealand SUPER study was designed to prevent physical frailty progression in older adults screened as pre-frail, and involved three intervention types: physical activity (10 weeks of an hour/week supervised, community-based group program involving falls prevention), a nutrition education and cooking program (8 weeks involving a 3 h session per week), and a combined intervention [62]. After 6 months follow up, both the physical activity and nutritional interventions independently improved frailty (adherence rates were 80% and 88% respectively), although there were no additional benefits observed in the combined intervention; adherence rate of the combined intervention was also substantially lower (70%) [62]. However, intervention benefits were not retained 2 years post-study, highlighting that ongoing participation is needed [62].

Importantly, although aerobic exercise is key to cardiovascular fitness, it does little to address sarcopenia or reduce age-related losses in BMD [66, 67]. Progressive resistance training (PRT) is the most effective strategy for maintaining or improving muscle mass and function, and preventing the development and progression of frailty [18, 24]. PRT is a muscle strengthening method designed to gradually and progressively increase the resistance lifted as an individual's strength improves. Equipment used can include dumbbells, resistance bands, and resistance training machines, but for optimal results, a program should include the training principles of progressive overload and specificity [24, 54]. The benefits of resistance-based training specific to the prevention and management of frailty include improvements in muscle mass, muscle strength, function, and mobility [24, 49, 68]. Resistancebased training is particularly important for individuals who are overweight or obesity undertaking a weight loss program to combat muscle mass loss when caloric restriction in medically recommended [69]. Resistance training improvements appear to be enhanced when combined with protein or a multi-nutrient enriched protein supplement [49], although the addition benefits to muscle mass and strength over PRT alone are typically modest and usually seen in those with low initial habitual intakes and when intakes increase to around  $\geq 1.2$  g/kg body weight/day [23, 56, 70–72].

**Box 2:** Summary of recommendations for physical activity and exercise to prevent and manage frailty and fragility from current Clinical Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

#### **General recommendations**

- 1. Provide an individually tailored, multicomponent exercise pro-
- gram addressing an individual's preferences, priorities, and abilities 2. Refer to a trained exercise professional (e.g. physical therapist or exercise physiologist)
- 3. Discourage inactivity and prolonged sitting

4. A comprehensive management plan should be provided — and should incorporate exercise/physical activity paired with adequate nutrition, particularly sufficient dietary protein. This management plan can be informed by a Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment to uncover underlying causes of fragility and/or frailty

5. The optimal prescription for general strength/resistance training for older adults is:  $\geq 2$  days/week, 8–10 exercises, 8–12 repetitions per set, 1–3 sets

### Frailty prevention and management

6. Provide a multi-component exercise program for individuals with frailty or pre-frailty

7. Refer to a supervised exercise and/or physical activity program which includes a progressive, resistance training component

#### Fragility fracture prevention and management

8. Prescribe exercise programs which include challenging balance and mobility training, weight-bearing exercise, progressive resistance-training, and posture exercises (for back extensor muscles), with a focus on functional exercises mimicking ADLs, and safe movement and lifting strategies

9. Encourage regular moderate-to-high impact weight-bearing exercise: 50–100 moderate impact loads that include unusual (or diverse) loading patters (multidirectional activities) divided into 3–5 sets of 10–20 repetitions, as tolerated 4–7d/week

10. Combine exercise with optimal nutrition, including adequate calcium, vitamin D, and dietary protein intake

Consistent recommendation = a recommendation common to most clinical practice guidelines, without any conflicting recommendations; we focused only on strong recommendations with a solid evidence base.

#### Key Guideline Sources (This List Is Not Exhaustive)

Osteoporosis and Fracture Prevention: African Society of Bone Health and Metabolic Bone Diseases [73]; Asia Pacific Consortium on Osteoporosis [74]' Clinician's Guide (the Bone Health and Osteoporosis Foundation) [75••]; Asia Pacific Consortium on Osteoporosis [74]; Egyptian Academy of bone health and metabolic bone diseases [76]; Exercise and Sports Science Australia [66]; European guidance for the diagnosis and management of osteoporosis in postmenopausal women [77]; National Osteoporosis Foundation USA) [78]; National Osteoporosis Society (supported by the British Geriatric Society) [22]; North American Consensus from the National Osteoporosis Foundation, Osteoporosis Canada, and Academia Nacional de Medicina de Mexico [79]; Too Fit To Fracture exercise recommendations [80]; UK clinical guideline for the prevention and treatment of osteoporosis [81]; and the UK consensus statement on physical activity and exercise for osteoporosis [82].

Frailty: Asia Pacific clinical practice guidelines [54]; Australian and New Zealand Society for Sarcopenia and Frailty Research [55]; British Columbia Guidelines & Protocols Advisory Committee [83]; the British Geriatric Society [25]; and the International Conference for Frailty and Sarcopenia Research [24, 84].

Exercise Prescription: American College of Sports Medicine [85, 86]; Bone Health and Osteoporosis Foundation (BHOF) as outlined by LeBoff and colleagues [75••]; Exercise and Sports Science Australia [66]; Too Fit To Fracture exercise recommendations [80]; and the UK Chief medical Officers' Physical Activity Guidelines [87, 88].

### **Fragility Fractures**

Fragility fractures related to osteoporosis are common in older adults, with almost one in two women and one in four men aged over 50 years predicted to sustain an osteoporotic fracture during their remaining lifetime [3]. Common sites for fragility fracture include the hip (neck of femur) (20% of all fragility fractures), vertebrae (16%), forearm/ humerus (15%), tibia (in women), and pelvis [89]. Consequences of fragility fractures can be devastating for individuals. For instance, hip fractures result in severe pain, functional decline, and increased mortality risk [77, 89]. Hip fractures also place a high burden on healthcare systems [90]. In the UK, hip fracture is the most common reason for emergency anaesthesia and surgery in older people [81]. The UK's National Hip Fracture Database report (2020) also highlighted that 48% of older adults were still not living in their own home 120 days after hip fracture [91]. In addition, across Europe hip fractures are the most expensive of all fragility fractures, encompassing over half (57%) of costs [89].

Risk factors for fragility fractures include low BMD, sex (female), a history of falls, and prior fracture [92, 93] as well as muscle weakness, frailty, inactivity, low visual acuity, poor balance, and joint instability [5, 6]. Bone geometry, bone quality, and microstructure also influence risk of a fragility fracture [94]. Identifying and addressing risk factors are key to the prevention and management of osteoporosis and fragility fractures. Medications are available, but their effectiveness is variable (30–70% fracture risk reduction), and adherence is regularly an issue (18–75% after 1 year [95]) due in part to concerns around potential side effects [89, 96, 97]. Hence, most international guidelines also recommend exercise as first-line therapy for preventing fragility fractures.

## **Exercise and Fragility Fractures**

Exercise is well known to improve or maintain BMD [81] and reduce the likelihood of fragility fractures and injurious falls [77, 98, 99]. International guidelines consistently recommended multicomponent exercise for the prevention and treatment of osteoporosis [22, 66, 74, 75••, 78–82, 100] (Box 1). It is recommended that individuals participate in regular weight-bearing exercise [75••, 77, 81], and be prescribed an exercise program according to their individual abilities and needs [75••, 77, 81, 101]. Supervised exercise is ideal, with a 2022 meta-analysis highlighting that supervised exercise programs were almost twice as effective at preventing fragility fractures in adults than unsupervised programs — both overall and for major fragility fractures [99]. Benefits of supervision include improved adherence, appropriate intensity progression, and safety [102].

There are two main intervention goals for an exercise/ physical activity program for the prevention of fragility fractures. The first of these is to target bone; a 2% increase in hip and spine BMD has been associated with a 15–22% and 28% reduction in the risk of hip and vertebral fractures, respectively [103]. The second is to prevent falls, given that 90% of hip fracture are the result of a fall [104].

### **Exercise for Improving Bone Health**

The optimal exercise prescription for fragility fracture prevention should include weight-bearing (impact) exercise with PRT and challenging balance and mobility training. Weight bearing exercise is needed to provide adequate mechanical loading (strain) on the skeletal system from which improvements in BMD can occur [105]. This is true across all age-groups and in osteoporotic populations [106, 107]. Whilst the optimal weight-bearing load is not clear, guidelines recommend that exercise eliciting loads (peak ground reaction forces) that are twice one's body weight (BW) are needed for those at moderate to high-risk and four times BW for those at low risk of fracture [66]. It is also not clear from the literature as to how many impact loads are needed to elicit improvements in bone strength or enhance bone mass, structure, and geometry [94], but there is evidence that 50  $(5 \times 10)$  daily multi-directional impacts (hops) eliciting loads of 2.2 to 2.7 times body weight can improve in hip BMD [108]. In terms of exercise frequency, there is evidence from clinical trials [109] and meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials (RCTs) [110] that two or more sessions per week are associated with the greatest benefits to bone. Furthermore, a meta-analysis of exercise intensity in postmenopausal women (n = 53 trials) found that moderate to high intensity exercise was required for BMD improvement (total hip and lumbar spine BMD), with low intensity exercise and walking showing little-to-no effect [111].

In recent years, there has been an emerging body of research investigating the effects of different types of exercise loads on changes in BMD. An example is the recent Australian 'Lifting Intervention For Training Muscle and Osteoporosis Rehabilitation' (LIFTMOR) trial, which focuses on 8 months of supervised, 'bone-focused', high-intensity progressive resistance and impact training (HiRIT) across various population groups [112, 113]. The LIFTMOR program involved five sets of five repetitions (at 80–85% of one repetition maximum) for three exercises (overhead press, deadlift, and squat) with 1 min rest interval between sets [112, 113]. One recent LIFTMOR trial involved 93 men aged  $\geq$  45 years with low BMD and found significantly greater BMD (mean 2.8% and 4.1% improvement in

trochanteric and lumbar spine BMD, respectively) [112], greater lean (muscle) mass (1.5%) [112], and improved cortical bone thickness at the medial femoral neck [113] compared with matched controls. Adherence to this high intensity, supervised training program was high (mean 77.8%) and there were few adverse events, highlighting that such an approach is safe and effective for older adults with or at risk of osteoporosis [112, 113].

Additional research from the German-based Franconian Osteopenia and Sarcopenia Trial (FrOST) trial has highlighted the benefits of low-volume/high-intensity dynamic resistance exercise (HIT-DRT) for community-dwelling older men with osteosarcopenia (osteoporosis co-occurring with sarcopenia) [114, 115]. After 12 months of HIT-DRT exercise, the intervention group showed improvements in muscle mass (3.3%) relative to controls [114] and maintained BMD — whilst the control group lost 2.5% BMD [115]; both the intervention and control groups received nutritional supplementation with vitamin D, calcium, and whey protein [114, 115]. The authors concluded that this HIT-DRT protocol was feasible (mean attendance rate was 93% for 70 sessions), time-efficient ( $2 \times 50$  min sessions per week), and safe, with no adverse effects observed during the intervention [114]. However, the study was small (n = 21)received supervised exercise including bi-weekly phone calls, and n = 22 control) [114].

Multimodal exercise has also shown promise for reducing the risk of osteoporosis. A recent example is the pragmatic 'Osteo-cise: Strong Bones for Life' 18-month multicomponent exercise program which involved individually tailored exercise prescription incorporating high-velocity PRT combined with multidirectional and targeted impact exercises (free weights, pulleys, and machine weights), mobility exercise, and balance training [116••]. Participants included adults aged  $\geq$  60 years with either low BMD and/or increased falls risk [116••]. After 12 months of participation in the Osteo-cise program, there were significant net benefits relative to controls to lumbar spine and femoral neck BMD (1.0 to 1.1%, p < 0.05), muscle strength (10 to 13%, p < 0.05), and physical function (timed stair climb 5%; four-square step test 6%; sit-to-stand 16%, p < 0.05 to < 0.001), which persisted after the 6-month 'research-to-practice' transition [116••].

The evidence supporting exercise for fragility fracture prevention and management comes predominantly from clinical trials in high-income countries, and therefore, it is not known whether recommendations for exercise are appropriate for lower income settings in terms of adherence and cultural acceptability. Nonetheless, the latest guidelines from developing regions (e.g. Africa [73]) have similar recommendations to those of high-income countries. To summarise, international guidelines for the prevention and management of fragility fractures consistently recommend the prescription of multicomponent exercise programs which include challenging balance and mobility training, paired with weight bearing exercise, PRT, and posture exercises [22, 66, 74, 75••, 78–82, 100]. Functional exercises mimicking ADLs, with safe movement and lifting strategies, are also recommended.

# **Exercise to Target Falls Prevention**

Exercise is also linked with a reduction in the likelihood of falls and injurious falls [77]. The benefits of an exercise intervention for preventing falls in community-dwelling older adults (aged  $\geq$  60 years) were emphasised in a 2020 Cochrane review [117••]. Several modes of exercise (typically balance and functional exercises combined with resistance training) reduced falls by 34% with moderatecertainty evidence (11 RCTs and 1374 participants), and balance and functional exercises reduced fall rate by 24% compared with control (high certainty evidence; 29 RCTs, 7920 participants [117••]. Subgroup analysis revealed that the impact of effective exercises on falls prevention was the same regardless of age (participant age 75 years and over), whether or not a health professional delivered the intervention, falls risk was an inclusion criteria, or if the exercise was group or individual based [117••]. There was an insufficiency of evidence supporting the effect of resistance training alone, walking, and/or dancing on falls prevention [117••]. Similarly, a 2019 systematic review of communitydwelling older adults 60 years and over found that exercise consistently prevented falls (108 studies), with 90% of trials involving mostly female participants [118]. Importantly, the overwhelming majority of research studies demonstrate that exercise programs targeting fall reductions are safe  $[75 \bullet \bullet]$ , and that falls prevention exercises can be successfully incorporated into daily life [119].

There is also a growing body of evidence indicating that exercise targeting falls prevention can also prevent fractures in older adults [120]. For example, a meta-analysis of 283 trials reported that compared with usual care, exercise alone or in combination with other fall-prevention interventions was found to be effective at preventing injurious falls; combined interventions with exercise included supplementation (either with calcium or vitamin D), treatment for vision impairment, environmental modification, clinical improvement strategies (case management), and Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment [120]. However, there are relatively few exercise-based studies which look at fracture endpoints, and the majority of these involve postmenopausal women only [75...]. Moreover, to date, there are no adequately powered RCTs of exercise with fracture outcomes [121]. Epidemiological research has highlighted that to show a relationship between exercise and fracture prevention, trials with fractures as the primary

outcome are needed with over 7000 participants needed for this to be sufficiently statistically powered [122].

# Conclusions

This review provides a brief overview of current clinical practice guidelines and the most-recently available evidence on exercise for the prevention and management of frailty and fragility fractures, including falls prevention. These findings can be used by policy makers, healthcare professionals, and consumers to inform decision making regarding exercise for older adults with or at increased risk of frailty and fragility fractures. We need to do more of what works and explore how to best implement evidence-based program into realworld settings. There is sufficient evidence, supported by clinical practice guidelines, that we need to focus attention on implementing exercise interventions given their proven effectiveness for multiple musculoskeletal health outcomes. For optimal benefits, exercise programs need to be personalised based on each person's medical history, health status, preferences, and priorities. Future research should focus on how to cost-effectively implement exercise interventions into daily life, including how to increase uptake and adherence to such programs. Appropriately funded long-term studies with patient-centred outcomes and fracture as a primary outcome are needed.

Funding DS is supported by an Australian National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) Investigator Grant (GNT1174886).

### Declarations

Conflict of Interest The authors declare no competing interests.

Human and Animal Rights and Informed Consent This article does not contain any studies with human or animal subjects performed by any of the authors.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by/4.0/.

# References

Papers of particular interest, published recently, have been highlighted as:

- Of importance
- •• Of major importance
- Chandran M, Ebeling PR, Mitchell PJ, Nguyen TV; Executive Committee of the Asia Pacific Consortium on Osteoporosis (APCO). Harmonization of osteoporosis guidelines: paving the way for disrupting the status quo in osteoporosis management in the Asia Pacific. J Bone Miner Res. 2022;37(4):608–15.
- Borgström F, Karlsson L, Ortsäter G, Norton N, Halbout P, Cooper C, et al. Fragility fractures in Europe: burden, management and opportunities. Arch Osteoporos. 2020;15(1):59.
- Snodgrass P, Zou A, Gruntmanis U, Gitajn IL. Osteoporosis diagnosis, management, and referral practice after fragility fractures. Curr Osteoporos Rep. 2022;20(3):163–9.
- Cheung W-H, Hung VW-Y, Cheuk K-Y, Chau W-W, Tsoi KK-F, Wong RM-Y, et al. Best performance parameters of HR-pQCT to predict fragility fracture: systematic review and meta-analysis. J Bone Miner Res. 2021;36(12):2381–98.
- Bouvard B, Annweiler C, Legrand E. Osteoporosis in older adults. Joint Bone Spine. 2021;88(3):105135.
- Wilson-Barnes SL, Lanham-New SA, Lambert H. Modifiable risk factors for bone health & fragility fractures. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol. 2022;36:101758.
- Chen C-L, Chen C-M, Wang C-Y, Ko P-W, Chen C-H, Hsieh C-P, et al. Frailty is associated with an increased risk of major adverse outcomes in elderly patients following surgical treatment of hip fracture. Sci Rep. 2019;9(1):19135.
- Gleason LJ, Benton EA, Alvarez-Nebreda ML, Weaver MJ, Harris MB, Javedan H. FRAIL questionnaire screening tool and short-term outcomes in geriatric fracture patients. J Am Med Dir Assoc. 2017;18(12):1082–6.
- Winters AM, Hartog LC, Roijen H, Brohet RM, Kamper AM. Relationship between clinical outcomes and Dutch frailty score among elderly patients who underwent surgery for hip fracture. Clin Interv Aging. 2018;13:2481–6.
- Clegg A, Young J, Iliffe S, Rikkert MO, Rockwood K. Frailty in elderly people. Lancet (London, England). 2013;381(9868):752-62.
- Laskou F, Fuggle NR, Patel HP, Jameson K, Cooper C, Dennison E. Associations of osteoporosis and sarcopenia with frailty and multimorbidity among participants of the Hertfordshire Cohort Study. J Cachex Sarcopenia Muscle. 2022;13(1):220–9.
- 12. While AE. Falls and older people: understanding why people fall. Br J Community Nurs. 2020;25(4):173–7.
- Kinney JM. Nutritional frailty, sarcopenia and falls in the elderly. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care. 2004;7:15–20.
- Hoogendijk EO, Afilalo J, Ensrud KE, Kowal P, Onder G, Fried LP. Frailty: implications for clinical practice and public health. Lancet. 2019;394(10206):1365–75.
- Brown R, Gatfield S, Rogers M, Peter N, Torrie A. Clinical frailty score: a useful tool in predicting outcomes in patients with fragility fractures. Trauma. 2022;[EPub Ahead of Print].
- Fragala MS, Cadore EL, Dorgo S, Izquierdo M, Kraemer WJ, Peterson MD, et al. Resistance training for older adults: position statement from the national strength and conditioning association. J Strength Cond Res. 2019;33(8):2019–52.
- Pasco JA. Age-Related Changes in Muscle and Bone. In: Duque G, editor. Osteosarcopenia: bone, muscle and fat interactions. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2019. p. 45–71.

- Chen N, He X, Feng Y, Ainsworth BE, Liu Y. Effects of resistance training in healthy older people with sarcopenia: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Eur Rev Aging Phys Act. 2021;18(1):23.
- Faienza MF, Lassandro G, Chiarito M, Valente F, Ciaccia L, Giordano P. How physical activity across the lifespan can reduce the impact of bone ageing: a literature review. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17(6):1862.
- Abreu-Sosa SM, Sullivan CM, Dugan SA. Musculoskeletal rehabilitation for the aging female. Curr Phys Med Rehabil Rep. 2020;8(4):501–8.
- Leslie WD, Schousboe JT. A review of osteoporosis diagnosis and treatment options in new and recently updated guidelines on case finding around the world. Curr Osteoporos Rep. 2011;9(3):129–40.
- 22. National Osteoporosis Society. Strong, steady and straight: an expert consensus statement on physical activity and exercise for osteoporosis. Bath: National Osteoporosis Society; 2018.
- Dent E, Martin FC, Bergman H, Woo J, Romero-Ortuno R, Walston JD. Management of frailty: opportunities, challenges, and future directions. Lancet (London, England). 2019;394(10206):1376–86.
- Dent E, Morley JE, Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Woodhouse L, Rodriguez-Manas L, Fried LP, et al. Physical frailty: ICFSR international clinical practice guidelines for identification and management. J Nutr Health Aging. 2019;23(9):771–87.
- Turner G, Clegg A. Best practice guidelines for the management of frailty: a British Geriatrics Society, Age UK and Royal College of General Practitioners report. Age Ageing. 2014;43(6):744–7.
- Collard RM, Boter H, Schoevers RA, Oude Voshaar RC. Prevalence of frailty in community-dwelling older persons: a systematic review. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2012;60(8):1487–92.
- Veronese N, Custodero C, Cella A, Demurtas J, Zora S, Maggi S, et al. Prevalence of multidimensional frailty and pre-frailty in older people in different settings: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ageing Res Rev. 2021;72:101498.
- Romero-García M, López-Rodríguez G, Henao-Morán S, González-Unzaga M, Galván M. Effect of a multicomponent exercise program (VIVIFRAIL) on functional capacity in elderly ambulatory: a non-randomized clinical trial in Mexican women with dynapenia. J Nutr Health Aging. 2021;25(2):148–54.
- Hoogendijk EO, Muntinga ME, de Breij S, Huisman M, Klokgieters SS. Inequalities in frailty among older Turkish and Moroccan immigrants and native Dutch: data from the Longitudinal Aging Study Amsterdam. J Immigr Minor Health. 2022;24(2):385–93.
- Hoogendijk EO, Rijnhart JJM, Kowal P, Perez-Zepeda MU, Cesari M, Abizanda P, et al. Socioeconomic inequalities in frailty among older adults in six low- and middle-income countries: results from the WHO Study on global AGEing and adult health (SAGE). Maturitas. 2018;115:56–63.
- 31. Jenkins ND, Hoogendijk EO, Armstrong JJ, Lewis NA, Ranson JM, Rijnhart JJM, et al. Trajectories of frailty with aging: coordinated analysis of five longitudinal studies. Innov Aging. 2022;6(2):igab059.
- Dent E, Morley JE, Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Arai H, Kritchevsky SB, Guralnik J, et al. International Clinical Practice Guidelines for Sarcopenia (ICFSR): screening, diagnosis and management. J Nutr Health Aging. 2018;22(10):1148–61.
- Dent E, Woo J, Scott D, Hoogendijk EO. Toward the recognition and management of sarcopenia in routine clinical care. Nat Aging. 2021;1(11):982–90.
- 34. Mendonça N, Kingston A, Yadegarfar M, Hanson H, Duncan R, Jagger C, et al. Transitions between frailty states in the very old: the influence of socioeconomic status and

multi-morbidity in the Newcastle 85+ cohort study. Age Ageing. 2020;49(6):974–81.

- Palmer K, Villani ER, Vetrano DL, Cherubini A, Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Curtin D, et al. Association of polypharmacy and hyperpolypharmacy with frailty states: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Eur Geriatr Med. 2019;10(1):9–36.
- Ni Lochlainn M, Robinson S. UK Nutrition Research Partnership workshop: nutrition and frailty—opportunities for prevention and treatment. Nutr Bull. 2022;47(1):123–9.
- Dent E, Hoogendijk EO, Wright ORL. New insights into the anorexia of ageing: from prevention to treatment. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care. 2019;22(1):44–51.
- Hoogendijk EO, Heymans MW, Deeg DJH, Huisman M. Socioeconomic inequalities in frailty among older adults: results from a 10-year longitudinal study in the Netherlands. Gerontology. 2018;64(2):157–64.
- Xu R, Li Q, Guo F, Zhao M, Zhang L. Prevalence and risk factors of frailty among people in rural areas: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ Open. 2021;11(4):e043494.
- Wray F, Coleman S, Clarke D, Hudson K, Forster A, Teale E. Risk factors for manifestations of frailty in hospitalized older adults: a qualitative study. J Adv Nurs. 2022;78(6):1688–1703.
- Blössner M, de Onis M, Prüss-Ustün, A (Eds). Malnutrition: quantifying the health impact at national and local levels. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2005. (WHO Environmental Burden of Disease Series, No. 12). https://www.who.int/publi cations/i/item/9241591870. Accessed 18 July 2022.
- Mitnitski AB, Mogilner AJ, Rockwood K. Accumulation of deficits as a proxy measure of aging. Sci World J. 2001;1:321027.
- Rockwood K, Mitnitski A. Frailty in relation to the accumulation of deficits. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2007;62(7):722–7.
- 44. Church S, Rogers E, Rockwood K, Theou O. A scoping review of the Clinical Frailty Scale. BMC Geriatr. 2020;20(1):393.
- Zanker J, Sim M, Anderson K, Balogun S, Brennan-Olsen SL, Dent E, et al. Consensus guidelines for sarcopenia prevention, diagnosis and management in Australia and New Zealand. J Cachex Sarcopenia Muscle. 2023;14:142–56.
- Kweon S-h, Park Js, Park BH. Sarcopenia and its association with change of bone mineral density and functional outcome in old-aged hip arthroplasty patients. Geriatr Orthop Surg Rehabil. 2022;13:21514593221092880.
- Harris RJ, Parimi N, Cawthon PM, Strotmeyer ES, Boudreau RM, Brach JS, et al. Associations of components of sarcopenia with risk of fracture in the Osteoporotic Fractures in Men (MrOS) study. Osteoporos Int. 2022;33:1815–21.
- Huang P, Luo K, Xu J, Huang W, Yin W, Xiao M, et al. Sarcopenia as a risk factor for future hip fracture: a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. J Nutr Health Aging. 2021;25(2):183–8.
- Milte R, Crotty M. Musculoskeletal health, frailty and functional decline. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol. 2014;28(3):395–410.
- World Health Organisation. ICD-11 for Mortality and Morbidity Statistics, 2022. https://icd.who.int/browse11/l-m/en. Accessed 30 Sep 2022.
- Dent E, Hoogendijk EO, Visvanathan R, Wright ORL. Malnutrition screening and assessment in hospitalised older people: a review. J Nutr Health Aging. 2019;23(5):431–41.
- Ambagtsheer RC, Beilby J, Seiboth C, Dent E. Prevalence and associations of frailty in residents of Australian aged care facilities: findings from a retrospective cohort study. Aging Clin Exp Res. 2020;32(9):1849–56.
- Faxén-Irving G, Luiking Y, Grönstedt H, Franzén E, Seiger Å, Vikström S, et al. Do malnutrition, sarcopenia and frailty overlap in nursing-home residents? J Frailty Aging. 2021;10(1):17–21.

- Dent E, Lien C, Lim WS, Wong WC, Wong CH, Ng TP, et al. The Asia-Pacific clinical practice guidelines for the management of frailty. J Am Med Dir Assoc. 2017;18(7):564–75.
- 55. Daly RM, Iuliano S, Fyfe JJ, Scott D, Kirk B, Thompson MQ, et al. Screening, diagnosis and management of sarcopenia and frailty in hospitalized older adults: recommendations from the Australian and New Zealand Society for Sarcopenia and Frailty Research (ANZSSFR) Expert Working Group. J Nutr Health Aging. 2022;26(6):637–51.
- Negm AM, Kennedy CC, Thabane L, Veroniki A-A, Adachi JD, Richardson J, et al. Management of frailty: a systematic review and network meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. J Am Med Dir Assoc. 2019;20(10):1190–8.
- 57. Apostolo J, Cooke R, Bobrowicz-Campos E, Santana S, Marcucci M, Cano A, et al. Effectiveness of interventions to prevent pre-frailty and frailty progression in older adults: a systematic review. JBI Database Syst Rev Implement Rep. 2018;16(1):140–232.
- 58. Oliveira JS, Pinheiro MB, Fairhall N, Walsh S, Chesterfield Franks T, Kwok W, et al. Evidence on physical activity and the prevention of frailty and sarcopenia among older people: a systematic review to inform the World Health Organization physical activity guidelines. J Phys Act Health. 2020;17(12):1247–58.
- Pahor M, Guralnik JM, Ambrosius WT, Blair S, Bonds DE, Church TS, et al. Effect of structured physical activity on prevention of major mobility disability in older adults: the LIFE study randomized clinical trial. JAMA. 2014;311(23):2387–96.
- 60. Izquierdo M Z-FF, Sáez de Asteasu ML, et al. VIVIFRAIL: a multi-component physical training program to prevent weakness and falls in people over 70 years. British Journal of Sports Medicine Blog (March 6, 2021), https://blogs.bmj.com/bjsm/ 2021/03/06/vivifrail-a-multi-component-physical-training-progr am-to-prevent-weakness-and-falls-in-people-over-70-years/AJ. Accessed 30 June 2022.
- Bernabei R, Landi F, Calvani R, Cesari M, Del Signore S, Anker SD, et al. Multicomponent intervention to prevent mobility disability in frail older adults: randomised controlled trial (SPRINTT project). BMJ (Clinical research ed). 2022;377:e068788.
- 62. Teh R, Barnett D, Edlin R, Kerse N, Waters DL, Hale L, et al. Effectiveness of a complex intervention of group-based nutrition and physical activity to prevent frailty in pre-frail older adults (SUPER): a randomised controlled trial. Lancet Healthy Longev. 2022;3(8):e519–30.
- 63. Koivunen K, Schaap LA, Hoogendijk EO, Schoonmade LJ, Huisman M, van Schoor NM. Exploring the conceptual framework and measurement model of intrinsic capacity defined by the World Health Organization: A scoping review. Ageing Res Rev. 2022;80:101686
- 64. Casas-Herrero Á, Sáez de Asteasu ML, Antón-Rodrigo I, Sánchez-Sánchez JL, Montero-Odasso M, Marín-Epelde I, et al. Effects of Vivifrail multicomponent intervention on functional capacity: a multicentre, randomized controlled trial. J Cachex Sarcopenia Muscle. 2022;13(2):884–93.
- 65. Pahor M, Guralnik JM, Anton SD, Ambrosius WT, Blair SN, Church TS, et al. Impact and lessons from the Lifestyle Interventions and Independence for Elders (LIFE) clinical trials of physical activity to prevent mobility disability. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2020;68(4):872–81.
- Beck BR, Daly RM, Singh MAF, Taaffe DR. Exercise and Sports Science Australia (ESSA) position statement on exercise prescription for the prevention and management of osteoporosis. J Sci Med Sport. 2017;20(5):438–45.
- Ferreira LF, de Oliveira AR, Schiefelbein ML, Garcia E, da Rosa LHT. Aerobic training does not decrease the prevalence of sarcopenia in older women: cross-sectional study. Ageing Int. 2022. [EPub Ahead of Print]

- 68. Radaelli R, Taaffe DR, Newton RU, Galvão DA, Lopez P. Exercise effects on muscle quality in older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Sci Rep. 2021;11(1):21085.
- 69. Lopez P, Taaffe DR, Galvão DA, Newton RU, Nonemacher ER, Wendt VM, et al. Resistance training effectiveness on body composition and body weight outcomes in individuals with overweight and obesity across the lifespan: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Obes Rev. 2022;23(5):e13428.
- Zanker J, Scott D, Reijnierse EM, Brennan-Olsen SL, Daly RM, Girgis CM, et al. Establishing an operational definition of sarcopenia in Australia and New Zealand: Delphi method based consensus statement. J Nutr Health Aging. 2019;23(1):105–10.
- 71. Kang L, Gao Y, Liu X, Liang Y, Chen Y, Liang Y, et al. Effects of whey protein nutritional supplement on muscle function among community-dwelling frail older people: a multicenter study in China. Arch Gerontol Geriatr. 2019;83:7–12.
- 72. Gabrovec B, Veninšek G, Samaniego LL, Carriazo AM, Antoniadou E, Jelenc M. The role of nutrition in ageing: a narrative review from the perspective of the European joint action on frailty – ADVANTAGE JA. Eur J Intern Med. 2018;56:26–32.
- 73. El Miedany Y, Paruk F, Kalla A, Adebajo A, El Gaafary M, El Maghraoui A, et al. Consensus evidence-based clinical practice guidelines for the diagnosis and treat-to-target management of osteoporosis in Africa: an initiative by the African Society of Bone Health and Metabolic Bone Diseases. Arch Osteoporos. 2021;16(1):176.
- 74. Chandran M, Mitchell PJ, Amphansap T, Bhadada SK, Chadha M, Chan DC, et al. Development of the Asia Pacific Consortium on Osteoporosis (APCO) Framework: clinical standards of care for the screening, diagnosis, and management of osteoporosis in the Asia-Pacific region. Osteoporos Int. 2021;32(7):1249–75.
- 75.•• LeBoff MS, Greenspan SL, Insogna KL, Lewiecki EM, Saag KG, Singer AJ, et al. The clinician's guide to prevention and treatment of osteoporosis. Osteoporos Int. 2022;33(10):2049–102. This comprehensive, 53-page evidence-based guide for osteoporosis outlines recommendations for the prevention, risk assessment, diagnosis, and treatment. The benefits of exercise particularly weight bearing exercise and balance training are outlined.
- 76. El Miedany Y, Abu-Zaid MH, El Gaafary M, El Naby MMH, Fathi N, Saber HG, et al. Egyptian consensus on treat-to-target approach for osteoporosis: a clinical practice guideline from the Egyptian Academy of bone health and metabolic bone diseases. Egypt Rheumatol Rehabil. 2022;49(52).
- Kanis JA, Cooper C, Rizzoli R, Reginster JY. European guidance for the diagnosis and management of osteoporosis in postmenopausal women. Osteoporos Int. 2019;30(1):3–44.
- National Osteoporosis Foundation. Boning up on osteoporosis. National Osteoporosis Foundation A, VA. 2019. https://www. bonehealthandosteoporosis.org/news/national-osteoporosisfoundation-is-now-bone-health-and-osteoporosis-foundation/. Accessed 30 June 2022.
- Lewiecki EM, Binkley N, Clark P, Kim S, Leslie WD, Morin SN. Core principles for fracture prevention: North American Consensus from the National Osteoporosis Foundation, Osteoporosis Canada, and Academia Nacional de Medicina de Mexico. Osteoporos Int. 2020;31(11):2073–6.
- Giangregorio LM, Papaioannou A, Macintyre NJ, Ashe MC, Heinonen A, Shipp K, et al. Too fit to fracture: exercise recommendations for individuals with osteoporosis or osteoporotic vertebral fracture. Osteoporos Int. 2014;25(3):821–35.
- Gregson CL, Armstrong DJ, Bowden J, Cooper C, Edwards J, Gittoes NJL, et al. UK clinical guideline for the prevention and treatment of osteoporosis. Arch Osteoporos. 2022;17(1):58.

- Brooke-Wavell K, Skelton DA, Barker KL, Clark EM, De Biase S, Arnold S, et al. Strong, steady and straight: UK consensus statement on physical activity and exercise for osteoporosis. Br J Sports Med. 2022;56(15):837–46.
- British Columbia Guidelines & Protocols Advisory Committee. Frailty in Older Adults - Early Identification and Management, 2017 [updated 24.09.2018]. Available from: https://www2.gov. bc.ca/gov/content/health/practitioner-professional-resources/bcguidelines/frailty. Accessed 17 June 2022.
- Ruiz JG, Dent E, Morley JE, Merchant RA, Beilby J, Beard J, et al. Screening for and managing the person with frailty in primary care: ICFSR consensus guidelines. J Nutr Health Aging. 2020;24(9):920–7.
- Bushman B, Medicine ACoS. ACSM's Complete Guide to Fitness & Health, 2E: Human Kinetics; 2017.
- Chodzko-Zajko W, Medicine ACoS. ACSM's exercise for older adults: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2013.
- UK Chief Medical Officers' Physical Activity Guidelines, September 9, 2019. Retrieved from: https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/gover nment/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_data/file/832868/uk-chiefmedical-officers-physical-activity-guidelines.pdf. Accessed 17 June 2022.
- Gibson-Moore H. UK Chief Medical Officers' physical activity guidelines 2019: what's new and how can we get people more active? Nutr Bull. 2019;44(4):320–8.
- Borgström F, Karlsson L, Ortsäter G, Norton N, Halbout P, Cooper C, et al. Fragility fractures in Europe: burden, management and opportunities. Arch Osteoporos. 2020;15(1):59.
- 90. Van Heghe A, Mordant G, Dupont J, Dejaeger M, Laurent MR, Gielen E. Effects of orthogeriatric care models on outcomes of hip fracture patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Calcif Tissue Int. 2022;110(2):162–84.
- 91. Royal College of Physicians: Facing new challenges the National Hip Fracture Database report on 2020 (January–December 2020). London.
- 92. Tran T, Bliuc D, Pham HM, van Geel T, Adachi JD, Berger C, et al. A risk assessment tool for predicting fragility fractures and mortality in the elderly. J Bone Miner Res. 2020;35(10):1923–34.
- 93. Sujic R, Yang A, Ansari H, Bogoch ER, Jain R, Weldon J, et al. Fragility fracture patients with a history of prior fractures more likely to present with multiple risk factors: findings from a province-wide fracture liaison service. Osteoporos Int. 2022;33(8):1769–74.
- Ashe MC, Santos IKD, Edward NY, Burnett LA, Barnes R, Fleig L, et al. Physical activity and bone health in men: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Bone Metab. 2021;28(1):27–39.
- 95. Fatoye F, Smith P, Gebrye T, Yeowell G. Real-world persistence and adherence with oral bisphosphonates for osteoporosis: a systematic review. BMJ Open. 2019;9(4):e027049.
- 96. Degli Esposti L, Girardi A, Saragoni S, Sella S, Andretta M, Rossini M, et al. Use of antiosteoporotic drugs and calcium/vitamin D in patients with fragility fractures: impact on re-fracture and mortality risk. Endocrine. 2019;64(2):367–77.
- Barrionuevo P, Kapoor E, Asi N, Alahdab F, Mohammed K, Benkhadra K, et al. Efficacy of pharmacological therapies for the prevention of fractures in postmenopausal women: a network meta-analysis. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2019;104(5):1623–30.
- Caristia S, Campani D, Cannici C, Frontera E, Giarda G, Pisterzi S, et al. Physical exercise and fall prevention: a systematic review and meta-analysis of experimental studies included in Cochrane reviews. Geriatr Nurs. 2021;42(6):1275–86.
- 99. Hoffmann I, Shojaa M, Kohl M, von Stengel S, Becker C, Gosch M, et al. Exercise reduces the number of overall and major osteoporotic fractures in adults. Does supervision make a

difference? Systematic review and meta-analysis. J Bone Miner Res. 2022;37(11):2132–48.

- 100. Montero-Odasso MM, Kamkar N, Pieruccini-Faria F, Osman A, Sarquis-Adamson Y, Close J, et al. Evaluation of clinical practice guidelines on fall prevention and management for older adults: a systematic review. JAMA Network Open. 2021;4(12):e2138911.
- 101. Daly RM, Dalla Via J, Duckham RL, Fraser SF, Helge EW. Exercise for the prevention of osteoporosis in postmenopausal women: an evidence-based guide to the optimal prescription. Braz J Phys Ther. 2019;23(2):170–80.
- 102. Fisher J, Steele J, Wolf M, Korakakis PA, Smith D, Giessing J. The role of supervision in resistance training; an exploratory systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Strength Cond. 2022;2(1).
- 103. Bouxsein ML, Eastell R, Lui LY, Wu LA, de Papp AE, Grauer A, et al. Change in bone density and reduction in fracture risk: a meta-regression of published trials. J Bone Miner Res: Off J Am Soc Bone Miner Res. 2019;34(4):632–42.
- 104. Enns-Bray WS, Bahaloo H, Fleps I, Pauchard Y, Taghizadeh E, Sigurdsson S, et al. Biofidelic finite element models for accurately classifying hip fracture in a retrospective clinical study of elderly women from the AGES Reykjavik cohort. Bone. 2019;120:25–37.
- Little-Letsinger SE, Pagnotti GM, McGrath C, Styner M. Exercise and diet: uncovering prospective mediators of skeletal fragility in bone and marrow adipose tissue. Curr Osteoporos Rep. 2020;18(6):774–89.
- 106. Lambert C, Beck BR, Harding AT, Watson SL, Weeks BK. Regional changes in indices of bone strength of upper and lower limbs in response to high-intensity impact loading or high-intensity resistance training. Bone. 2020;132:115192.
- 107. Kistler-Fischbacher M, Weeks BK, Beck BR. The effect of exercise intensity on bone in postmenopausal women (part 1): a systematic review. Bone. 2021;143:115696.
- 108. Allison SJ, Poole KE, Treece GM, Gee AH, Tonkin C, Rennie WJ, et al. The influence of high-impact exercise on cortical and trabecular bone mineral content and 3D distribution across the proximal femur in older men: a randomized controlled unilateral intervention. J Bone Miner Res. 2015;30(9):1709–16.
- 109. Daly RM, Dalla Via J, Fyfe JJ, Nikander R, Kukuljan S. Effects of exercise frequency and training volume on bone changes following a multi-component exercise intervention in middle aged and older men: secondary analysis of an 18-month randomized controlled trial. Bone. 2021;148:115944.
- 110. Zitzmann A-L, Shojaa M, Kast S, Kohl M, von Stengel S, Borucki D, et al. The effect of different training frequency on bone mineral density in older adults. A comparative systematic review and meta-analysis. Bone. 2022;154:116230.
- 111. Kistler-Fischbacher M, Weeks BK, Beck BR. The effect of exercise intensity on bone in postmenopausal women (part 2): a meta-analysis. Bone. 2021;143:115697.
- 112. Harding AT, Weeks BK, Lambert C, Watson SL, Weis LJ, Beck BR. A comparison of bone-targeted exercise strategies to reduce fracture risk in middle-aged and older men with osteopenia and osteoporosis: LIFTMOR-M semi-randomized controlled trial. J Bone Miner Res: Off J Am Soc Bone Miner Res. 2020;35(8):1404–14.
- 113. Harding AT, Weeks BK, Lambert C, Watson SL, Weis LJ, Beck BR. Effects of supervised high-intensity resistance and impact training or machine-based isometric training on regional bone geometry and strength in middle-aged and older men with low bone mass: the LIFTMOR-M semi-randomised controlled trial. Bone. 2020;136:115362.

- 114. Kemmler W, Kohl M, Fröhlich M, Jakob F, Engelke K, von Stengel S, et al. Effects of high-intensity resistance training on osteopenia and sarcopenia parameters in older men with osteosarcopenia-one-year results of the randomized controlled Franconian Osteopenia and Sarcopenia Trial (FrOST). J Bone Miner Res: Off J Am Soc Bone Miner Res. 2020;35(9):1634–44.
- 115. Kemmler W, Kohl M, Fröhlich M, Jakob F, Engelke K, von Stengel S, et al. Effects of high-intensity resistance training on osteopenia and sarcopenia parameters in older men with osteosarcopenia—one-year results of the randomized controlled Franconian Osteopenia and Sarcopenia Trial (FrOST). J Bone Miner Res. 2020;35(9):1634–44.
- 116.•• Daly RM, Gianoudis J, Kersh ME, Bailey CA, Ebeling PR, Krug R, et al. Effects of a 12-month supervised, community-based, multimodal exercise program followed by a 6-month research-to-practice transition on bone mineral density, trabecular microarchitecture, and physical function in older adults: a randomized controlled trial. J Bone Miner Res. 2020;35(3):419–29. This evidence-based RCT showed that a supervised, structured and multicomponent exercise program can be successfully implemented in a real-word setting (community-based leisure centres), with multiple (and sustainable) improvements to physical function and musculoskeletal outcomes in older adults.
- 117.•• Sherrington C, Fairhall N, Wallbank G, Tiedemann A, Michaleff ZA, Howard K, et al. Exercise for preventing falls in older people living in the community: an abridged Cochrane systematic review. Br J Sports Med. 2020;54(15):885–91. This systematic review comprehensively covers the evidence base regarding exercise for preventing falls in older adults (59 RCTs and 12,981 participants); falls being the major cause of fragility fractures.
- 118. Ng C, Fairhall N, Wallbank G, Tiedemann A, Michaleff ZA, Sherrington C. Exercise for falls prevention in communitydwelling older adults: trial and participant characteristics, interventions and bias in clinical trials from a systematic review. BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med. 2019;5(1):e000663.
- 119. Clemson L, Fiatarone Singh MA, Bundy A, Cumming RG, Manollaras K, O'Loughlin P, et al. Integration of balance and strength training into daily life activity to reduce rate of falls in older people (the LiFE study): randomised parallel trial. BMJ (Clinical research ed). 2012;345:e4547.
- Tricco AC, Thomas SM, Veroniki AA, Hamid JS, Cogo E, Strifler L, et al. Comparisons of interventions for preventing falls in older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA. 2017;318(17):1687–99.
- Cauley JA, Giangregorio L. Physical activity and skeletal health in adults. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2020;8(2):150–62.
- Moayyeri A. The association between physical activity and osteoporotic fractures: a review of the evidence and implications for future research. Ann Epidemiol. 2008;18(11):827–35.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.